



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

					(12)
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED II	NVENTOR	, A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/473,194	12/06/99	SLAGLE		W	
		DM90 / 06 00	コ	E	EXAMINER
WAYNE M SLAGLE 1228 N E 193RD AVENUE		PM82/0606		CHIN,P	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND OR	TLAND OR 97230			3652 DATE MAILED:	06/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/473,194

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Paul Chin

Art Unit 365

Slagle



	radi Cilili	3032
- Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she t with the corre	
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON	ITH(S) FROM
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical 	tion.	•
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a be considered timely. 		
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe communication. 		_
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	atute, cause the application to become ABANI nailing date of this communication, even if time	OONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ly filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 6</u>	. 1999	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>	<u> </u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from considera
5)		
6) 🛭 Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>		is/are rejected.
7)		is/are objected to.
8) 🗌 Claims	are subject to	restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers		
9) 🛛 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) X The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a 🔲 approved	b)⊡disapproved.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign μ	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha		•
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha		
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	National Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		
	, priority and of 0.0.0. 3 110(0).	
Attachment(s)		
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No	
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT20) Other:	TO-152)
	20, Other.	

Page 2



Application/Control Number: 09/473,194

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant is advised on how to arrange the content of the specification.

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a). The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification. It should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- © <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See 37CFR 1.96© and MPEP § 608.05. The total number of microfiche and the total number frames should be specified.
- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) <u>Description of the Related Art</u>: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be



Art Unit: 3652

treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.

- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. This item may also be titled "Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention." Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (I) <u>Claim or Claims</u>: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet. (37 CFR 1.52(b)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps.
- (j) <u>Abstract of the Disclosure</u>: A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 250 words or less on a separate sheet following the claims.
- (k) <u>Drawings</u>: See 37 CFR 1.81, 1.83-1.85, and MPEP § 608.02.
- (l) Sequence Listing: See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825.
- 2. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecuting procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon

Application/Control Number: 09/473,194

Art Unit: 3652

skillful preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of 37 CFR 1.71(a)-(c):

- (a) The specification must include a written description of the invention or discovery and of the manner and process of making and using the same, and is required to be in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art or science to which the invention or discovery appertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same.
- (b) The specification must set forth the precise invention for which a patent is solicited, in such manner as to distinguish it from other inventions and from what is old. It must describe completely a specific embodiment of the process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter or improvement invented, and must explain the mode of operation or principle whenever applicable. The best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention must be set forth.
- © In the case of an improvement, the specification must particularly point out the part or parts of the process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter to which the improvement relates, and the description should be confined to the specific improvement and to such parts as necessarily cooperate with it or as may be necessary to a complete understanding or description of it.

The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 because the applicant does not disclose the invention of "Dumb Waiter Elevating and Lowering Platform Device" in a full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which the invention or discovery appertains. For example, the applicant did not explain the full, concise, and detailed disclosure of the claimed "high torque rotary drive system that integrates the brake and limit

Application/Control Number: 09/473,194 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

switches" (claim 1) as to how it relates and functions to a platform. Moreover, the claimed "secondary safety device" (claim 3) is not even mentioned in the specification or shown in the drawing.

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

It is not clearly understood as to how the "brake" and "limit switches" are integrated in the rotary drive system.

It is not clearly understood as to how the "lip" (not shown) surround the front opening of a container.

Moreover, it is not clearly understood as to how the "lifting pulley and cable system" acts or operates as a lift and how it relates to the "secondary safety device".

Drawings

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "brake and switches" (claim 1, line

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/473,194

Art Unit: 3652

- 2), the "lip" (claim 2, line 2), and the "secondary safety device" (claim 3, line 2) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: the reference numeral "12" (turnbuckles) is not shown in the drawing. Correction is required.

Specification

7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears on page 2, line 2, the letter "y" should be deleted, and on page 3, line 1, the word "though" should be changed to --through--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

8. Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities: all the claims should be labeled in numbers. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claim 1, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luenser [3,931,727].

Application/Control Number: 09/473,194 Page 7

Art Unit: 3652

Luenser [3,931,727] shows a space saving, low profile, rotary drive system (18) having a brake assembly (26) and limit switches (CLSA-2, CLSA-3).

11. Claim 3, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hughes [5,667,035].

Hughes [5,667,035] shows self adjusting parallel lifting pulleys (336,340), adjustable cable systems (335,337,348), and cable guides (325) and brackets (320,344), which can be considered as secondary safety device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claim 2, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reite et al. [6,167,670].

Reite et al. [6,167,670] shows a dumb waiter assembly comprising a single piece container (90) which appears to be water proof. Reite et al. [6,167,670] does not show *a lip* to close an opening of the container.

Application/Control Number: 09/473,194 Page 8

Art Unit: 3652

However, it would have an obvious design choice to provide *a lip* on the Reite et al.'s device [6,167,670] to close an opening of the container and to avoid any spills when the dumb waiter is used.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Chin whose telephone number is (703) 305-1524.

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

lalies

ptc

PTC

May 31, 2001